



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,374	03/29/2004	Scott A. Krogel	9008-49	7602
20792	7590	03/04/2005	EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			RAMIREZ, RAMON O	
PO BOX 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, NC 27627			3632	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,374	KROGEL, SCOTT A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-12, 14-19 and 21-29 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 13, 20 and 30 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____ .

Detailed Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 30 claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross et al. (Pat No 5,035,392).

The patent to Gross et al. discloses an adjustable display device comprising panels (14, 16), slidably connected (see element 12) to each other, and having support means (32) which is a hinge connecting the display to a computer monitor. The surface of the panels is a writing surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 14-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al. (Pat No 5,035,392).
To substitute a whiteboard and a corkboard for the panels shown by Gross et al. is considered to be an obvious matter of engineering choice to those skilled in the art. This is considered a matter of expediency. Note that the structure of the device shown by Gross et al. would not be affected by using other type of panels.

Claims 8, 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al. in view of Schwartz (Pat No 5,638,096).

The patent to Schwartz discloses the use on indicia on a computer monitor. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the device shown by Gross et al. with indicia as shown by Schwartz for several purpose, for example aesthetics.

Claims 9-12, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al. in view of Sunblad (Pat No 6,443,415).

The patent to Sunblad discloses an organizer used with a computer comprising a writing holder (16) holding a writing implement (13) and an eraser holder (15) holding an eraser (14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provide the device shown by Gross et al. with a writing holder and an eraser holder if one of the panel is a whiteboard.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 20 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gross et al. (5,122,941), Isham et al. (5,292,099), Waters (4,960,257), Ko (6,290,200), Rebeske (6,295,038) and Dwyer (6,543,167) show devices of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (703) 308-0748 (after April 2005, the phone may be (571) 272-6821). The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, 1ST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESLIE BRAUN can be reached on (703) 308-2156 (after April 2005, the phone may be (571) 272-6815).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.



RAMON O. RAMIREZ
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

ROR
March 1, 2005